

On the Uniqueness of Group Sparse Coding, Proof of the Block ACS Theorem

Chen Kong and Simon Lucey

The Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University

5000 Forbes Ave, Pittsburgh PA., USA

chenk@cs.cmu.edu, slucey@cs.cmu.edu

1. Block ACS Reconstruction Theorem

Denote A as an unknown dictionary, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{K\alpha \times \beta}$ as a block vector divided into K blocks whose size is $\alpha \times \beta$. Among K blocks, only s blocks are non-zero, so-called active. For example, $[1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0]^T$ can be divided into 5 blocks: $[1, 2]^T, [0, 0]^T, [0, 0]^T, [0, 3]^T, [0, 0]^T$, and among them only 2 blocks $[1, 2]^T, [0, 3]^T$ are active, where $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1, K = 5, s = 2$. We call this kind of block vector as s -block-sparse vector.

The block ACS theorem: As for the dictionary A satisfying block $2s$ -RIP [1] with RIP constant $\delta < 1$, if there exists another dictionary B , such that for any s -block-sparse vector $\mathbf{a}$, we can find a s -block-sparse $\mathbf{b}$ satisfying

$$A\mathbf{a} = B\mathbf{b}, \quad (1)$$

then it follows that

$$A = B(P_\pi \otimes I_\alpha)D, \quad (2)$$

where P_π is a permutation matrix and D is a block diagonal matrix, and further the size of each block is $\alpha \times \alpha$.

The block ACS theorem provides the guarantee that given enough samples $y^i = A\mathbf{a}^i$, once one finds a dictionary and coefficients modelling measurements in each samples $[y^1, \dots, y^N]$ well, the ambiguity between recovered dictionary and original dictionary is the mix in the blocks and mix between blocks.

Specially, if $\alpha = 1$, block ACS Theorem degenerates to standard ACS theorem [2].

2. Proof of Block ACS Theorem

Recall in block ACS Theorem, the size of blocks in $\mathbf{a}$ is $\alpha \times \beta$. Let's first prove the theorem in the case when $\beta = 1$ and once it is proven, the general case $\beta \neq 1$ is trivial to handle: We can split sparse causes $\mathbf{a}$ into $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_\beta]$, where $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{K\alpha}$ and then the hypotheses $A\mathbf{a} = A[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_\beta] = B\mathbf{b} = B[\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_\beta]$ equals

to $A\mathbf{a}_i = B\mathbf{b}_i$, which degenerates to the situation where $\beta = 1$.

To better understand this theorem and prepare for proof in full generality, let us start from a simple case when $s = 1$. Denote e_i^K as a K -dimensional column vector that has 1 in its i -th coordinate and zeros elsewhere. Pick up a block vector $\mathbf{a}^{ij} = (e_i^K \otimes e_j^\alpha), i = 1, \dots, K, j = 1, \dots, \alpha$, saying that its i -th coordinate in j -th block is 1 and zeros elsewhere. For some matrix B and 1-block-sparse $\mathbf{b}^{ij}$, from hypotheses 1, it follows that

$$A\mathbf{a}^{ij} = A(e_i^K \otimes e_j^\alpha) = B\mathbf{b}^{ij} = (e_{\pi(i,j)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)\mathbf{d}_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

for some mapping $\pi : \{1, \dots, K\} \times \{1, \dots, \alpha\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$ and $\mathbf{d}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^\alpha$.

Now, first, let us prove π is only respect to i . From Equation 3, we know that for any $j \neq k$, $A(\mathbf{a}^{ij} + \mathbf{a}^{ik}) = A\mathbf{a}^{ij} + A\mathbf{a}^{ik} = B\mathbf{b}^{ij} + B\mathbf{b}^{ik} = B(\mathbf{b}^{ij} + \mathbf{b}^{ik})$. Since $\mathbf{a}^{ij} + \mathbf{a}^{ik}$ is 1-block-sparse, Equation 1 implies that $\mathbf{b}^{ij} + \mathbf{b}^{ik}$ should also be 1-block-sparse, which implies that $\pi(i, j) = \pi(i, k)$. Hence, it follows that $\pi : \{1, \dots, K\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$, and

$$A(e_i^K \otimes e_j^\alpha) = B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)\mathbf{d}_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

Then, let us prove that $D_i = [\mathbf{d}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{i\alpha}]$ is invertible. Denote $\mathbf{a}^i = [\mathbf{a}^{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{i\alpha}]$ and $\mathbf{b}^i = [\mathbf{b}^{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^{i\alpha}]$. From Equation 4, it follows that $A\mathbf{a}^i = A[\mathbf{a}^{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{i\alpha}] = A[(e_i^K \otimes e_1^\alpha), \dots, (e_i^K \otimes e_\alpha^\alpha)] = A(e_i^K \otimes I_\alpha)$, and $A\mathbf{a}^i = B\mathbf{b}^i = B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha) [\mathbf{d}_{i1}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{i\alpha}] = B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_i$. Therefore,

$$A(e_i^K \otimes I_\alpha) = B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_i. \quad (5)$$

A satisfies block RIP condition, and so $\text{rank}(A(e_i^K \otimes I_\alpha)) = \alpha$. From Equation 5, $\text{rank}(B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_i) = \alpha$. From basic linear algebra knowledge, we know $\text{rank}(XY) \leq \min(\text{rank}(X), \text{rank}(Y))$, for any matrix X, Y . So $\text{rank}(D_i) \geq \alpha$. Since $D_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha \times \alpha}$, $\text{rank}(D_i) = \alpha$.

Now, let us show π is necessarily injective. Suppose $\pi(i) = \pi(j)$, with $i \neq j$, then from Equation 5, $A(e_i^K \otimes$

$I_\alpha) = B(e_{\pi(i)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_i = B(e_{\pi(j)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_j D_j^{-1}D_i = A(e_j^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_j^{-1}D_i$. Since A satisfies RIP, which implies A cannot map 2 different s -block-sparse vector to the same measurement, this is only possible if $i = j$. Thus, π is injective.

Denote P_π and D as:

$$P_\pi = \begin{bmatrix} e_{\pi(1)}^K & \cdots & e_{\pi(K)}^K \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & D_K \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Since π is injective, P_π is a permutation matrix. Let us stack Equation 5 from left-to-right on both sides, and it follows that on left sides, $[A(e_1^K \otimes I_\alpha), \dots, A(e_K^K \otimes I_\alpha)] = A$, and on right sides, $[B(e_{\pi(1)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_1, \dots, B(e_{\pi(K)}^K \otimes I_\alpha)D_K] = B(P_\pi \otimes I_\alpha)D$. Hence, finally, we proved in simple case $A = B(P_\pi \otimes I_\alpha)D$.

Before proving block ACS theorem, we first prove a proposition, and then use it for proving block ACS.

We use the same notation reported in [2]: Denote $[K]$ for the set $\{1, \dots, K\}$ and $\binom{[K]}{s}$ for the set of s -element subset of $[K]$. Moreover, denote the dictionary $A = [A_1, \dots, A_K]$ with $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times \alpha}$, and $\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \{\sum_{i \in S} t_i A_i\}$.

Proposition: Suppose that A satisfies block RIP condition and that

$$\kappa : \binom{[K]}{s} \rightarrow \binom{[K]}{s}$$

is a mapping with the following property: for all $S \in \binom{[K]}{s}$,

$$\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \text{Span}\{B_{\kappa(S)}\}. \quad (7)$$

Then, there exist a permutation matrix $P_\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ and an invertible block diagonal matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha K \times \alpha K}$ such that $A = B(P_\kappa \otimes I_\alpha)D$.

Proof. We prove from s to 1 inductively, and the final case $s = 1$ having already been worked out at the simple case.

First, let's show function κ is injective. Suppose that $S, S' \in \binom{[K]}{s}$ are different and $\kappa(S) = \kappa(S')$ holds, then by Equation 7, $\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \text{Span}\{B_{\kappa(S)}\} = \text{Span}\{B_{\kappa(S')}\} = \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\}$. From below Lemma 1, it turns out that $S = S'$, which implies κ is injective.

Now, let us prove the Proposition inductively. Denote $\iota = \kappa^{-1}$ as the inverse of κ . Fix $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}\} \in \binom{[K]}{s-1}$, and set $S_1 = S \cup \{p\}$ and $S_2 = S \cup \{q\}$ for some fixed $p, q \notin S$ with $p \neq q$. (Since $s < K$, $K - (s - 1) > 1$, thus, it's possible to find such p and q .) From Equation 7, we obtain:

$$\text{Span}\{A_{\iota(S_1)}\} = \text{Span}\{B_{S_1}\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\text{Span}\{A_{\iota(S_2)}\} = \text{Span}\{B_{S_2}\}. \quad (9)$$

Let us intersect Equation 8 and Equation 9, and from below Lemma 2 it follows that $\text{Span}\{B_{S_1}\} \cap \text{Span}\{B_{S_2}\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\iota(S_1) \cap \iota(S_2)}\}$. Since $\text{Span}\{B_S\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{B_{S_1}\} \cap \text{Span}\{B_{S_2}\}$, it follows that $\text{Span}\{B_S\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{A_{\iota(S_1) \cap \iota(S_2)}\}$. The number of the elements in $\iota(S_1) \cap \iota(S_2)$ is $s - 1$, since $\iota(p) \neq \iota(q)$, with $p \neq q$, by injectivity of ι . Moreover the number of the elements in S is also $s - 1$, which implies that

$$\text{Span}\{B_S\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\iota(S_1) \cap \iota(S_2)}\}. \quad (10)$$

The association $S \rightarrow \iota(S_1) \cap \iota(S_2)$ from Equation 10 defines a function $\sigma : \binom{[K]}{s-1} \rightarrow \binom{[K]}{s-1}$, with property that $\text{Span}\{B_S\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\sigma(S)}\}$.

Finally, let's show that σ is injective. Suppose $S, S' \in \binom{[K]}{s-1}$, and $\sigma(S) = \sigma(S')$, it follows that $\text{Span}\{B_S\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\sigma(S)}\} = \text{Span}\{A_{\sigma(S')}\} = \text{Span}\{B_{S'}\}$, from below Lemma 1, it follows that $S = S'$, which implies σ is injective. Hence, let $\xi = \sigma^{-1}$, with properties: for all $S \in \binom{[K]}{s-1}$, $\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \text{Span}\{B_{\xi(S)}\}$. $\square$

Lemma 1: If the dictionary $A = [A_1, \dots, A_K]$ satisfies block $2s$ -RIP with RIP constant $\delta < 1$, then for $S, S' \in \binom{[K]}{s}$,

$$\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\} \Rightarrow S = S'. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Suppose that $S \neq S' \in \binom{[K]}{s}$ satisfying $\text{Span}\{A_S\} = \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\}$. Then without loss of generality, there is an $i \in S$ with $i \notin S'$, but atoms $A_i \in \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\}$, which implies that the RIP constant $\delta = 1$, a contradiction to the assumption on A . $\square$

Lemma 2: If the dictionary A satisfies block $2s$ -RIP with RIP constant $\delta < 1$, then for $S, S' \in \binom{[K]}{s}$,

$$\text{Span}\{A_{S \cap S'}\} = \text{Span}\{A_S\} \cap \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The inclusion " $\subseteq$ " is trivial, so Let us prove " $\supseteq$ ". Suppose a block vector $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Span}\{A_S\} \cap \text{Span}\{A_{S'}\}$. Express $\mathbf{y}$ as a linear combination of s atoms of A indexed by S and, separately, as a combination of s atoms of A indexed by S' . By RIP condition, these linear combinations must be identical. In particular, $\mathbf{y}$ was expressed as a linear combination of atoms of A indexed by $S \cap S'$, and thus is in $\text{Span}\{A_{S \cap S'}\}$. $\square$

Proof of block ACS Theorem: Fix $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\} \in \binom{[K]}{s}$ and express $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^\alpha t_j^i (e_{x_i}^K \otimes e_j^\alpha)$, where $t_j^i \in \mathcal{T}_j^i$, a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{b}$ is s -block-sparse with $A\mathbf{a} = B\mathbf{b}$, and then, $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Span}\{e_{p_i}^K \otimes e_j^\alpha | i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, \alpha\}$, for some $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\}$. Viewing each s -element subset of $[K]$ as a *color*, this map

$$f : \mathcal{T}_1^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_\alpha^1 \times \mathcal{T}_1^2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_\alpha^s \rightarrow \binom{[K]}{s} \quad (13)$$

$$i.e. (t_1^1, \dots, t_\alpha^1, t_1^2, \dots, t_\alpha^s) \rightarrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\} \quad (14)$$

is a coloring of the finite set $\mathcal{T}_1^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_\alpha^s$ with colors in $C = \binom{[K]}{s}$.

From Ramsey theory [2], it implies that there are 2-element subsets $\mathcal{H}_j^i \subseteq \mathcal{T}_j^i$, and $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\} \in \binom{[K]}{s}$, such that $f(t_1^1, \dots, t_\alpha^s) = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ holds for all $(t_1^1, \dots, t_\alpha^s) \in \mathcal{H}_1^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$. Now, let us define function

$$\kappa(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}) = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}, \quad (15)$$

where $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ is generated by above recipe.

Claim: The mapping κ defined by Definition 15 satisfies Equation 7.

Proof. First let us prove

$$\text{Span}\{A_{\mathcal{S}}\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{B_{\text{Alpha}(\mathcal{S})}\}. \quad (16)$$

Choose a pair of elements $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}_1^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s$, which differ only in the l -th coordinate. By construction, the vectors Ah, Ah' are in the right-hand set of Equation 16. Then, the difference $A(h - h')$ that is a nonzero scalar multiple of one of bases of the left-hand set of Equation 16, is also in the right-hand set of Equation 16. Hence, every bases of the left-hand set of Equation 16 is in the right-hand set of Equation 16, i.e. $\text{Span}\{A_{\mathcal{S}}\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{B_{\kappa(\mathcal{S})}\}$.

Moreover, the dimension of left-hand set is sK , and the dimension of right-hand set is at most sK . Hence, $\text{Span}\{A_{\mathcal{S}}\} = \text{Span}\{B_{\kappa(\mathcal{S})}\}$. $\square$

Finally, from the *Proposition*, block ACS theorem is proven.

References

- [1] Y. C. Eldar and M. Mishali. Robust recovery of signals from a structured union of subspaces. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 55(11):5302–5316, 2009.
- [2] C. J. Hillar and F. T. Sommer. Ramsey theory reveals the conditions when sparse coding on subsampled data is unique. Technical report, 2011.